

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Was it not mandatory for the Government to have revised the rates of minimum wages on 27th March 1957 in the old Mysore area, on 25th March 1957 in Hyderabad area and in March 1957 in Madras area in respect of several industries?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—There was the provision in the statute but the work could not be finished. In the meanwhile there was also an amending Bill in the Central Government and that Bill has now been passed and steps are being taken to fix the minimum wages as early as possible.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Is it being suggested that the amending legislation prescribes that it need not be revised within the ambit of five years?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—There is no such suggestion and if the Hon'ble member thinks so it is wrong.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—So far as wages are concerned, are the rates prescribed uniform?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—So far as the rates are concerned, I am not just now in a position to say whether they are uniform or not. If the Hon'ble member wants it, I shall gather the information.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—I had asked for the rates. So far as rules are concerned, I would like to know the position.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—With regard to rules also, I must say and confess that I do not have the information ready. With regard to rates I do not know whether it was found in the original question that was sent by him. But in the manner it has been admitted, it is not there.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—With reference to supplementary (d), is there any proposal to constitute an Advisory Board as required by the Act?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—The question of constituting an Advisory Committee and Advisory Board is under the consideration of the Government.

ಶ್ರೀ ಚ. ವಾರ್ಡನಾರಥಿ.—ಉದ್ದ ಬಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲಸ ಗಾರಂಗೆ Minimum Wages Act ಅನ್ಯಾಯವುವುದ್ದಿಷ್ಟವೇ?

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—That which is not in the schedule, has not been fixed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question 378. The Hon'ble Minister is not present. The question will be taken up tomorrow.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—On a point of order. If the Minister is not well, the question can be taken up afterwards. May I know whether he is in headquarters or not?

Mr. SPEAKER.—He has written to me saying that it would not be possible for him to attend the House this day. So, the question may be postponed.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question 442 will be taken up later on as the replies received are not complete.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟಿರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ನಾನು ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕೀಗೊಳಿಸಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಹೊಂದಲು ಒಂದು ಮಾತನ್ನು ಕೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ನಾನು ಈ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕೀಯನ್ನು 25—5—1957 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿಗೆ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಬುನಾವಳಿಗಳಾಗಿ ಇಷ್ಟ್ ದೀರ್ಘ ಕಾಲಾನಂತರ ಒಂದು ಈ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕೀ ನಭಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಬರುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಾನು ಈ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕೀಯನ್ನು ಕೇಳಿಪುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇಚ್ಛಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. I do not want to waste the time of the House.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Very well, thank you. Next question.

Abolition of the Posts of Patels and Shanbhogues in the State.

Q.—796. Sri K. L. NARASIMHIAH (Hebbur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) (i) the number of Patels and Shanbhogues in the State;

(ii) the cost of remuneration paid to them;

(b) the number of times the committee appointed for considering the abolition of hereditary system met;

(c) the expenditure incurred in this behalf?

A.—Sri M. P. PATIL (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) *Vide* statement appended.

(b) 1. Government appointed a Committee to consider, examine and report on the abolition of the system of hereditary Shanbhogues in Mysore on

14th February 1930 under the Chairmanship of *Rajakaryapravina* Sri P. G. D'Souza, the then Revenue Commissioner. The committee met twice and their report was published on 11th May 1931.

2. Sri Gundappa Gowda Committee appointed in the year 1948 to

examine and report on the Land Revenue System in Mysore have also examined this question of hereditary officers at some length. This committee met 9 times and their report was published in 1950.

(c) This information is not available now.

STATEMENT

District	Patels	Remuneration	Shanbhogues/ Talathies Kulkarnies/ Patwaries	Remuneration
BANGALORE DIVISION				
1 Bangalore	... 1,930	Rs. 90,315.75	1,047	1,23,772.25
2 Kolar	... 2,425	1,03,339.19	1,323	1,40,834.56
3 Tumkur	... 2,560	96,585.75	918	1,31,183.31
4 Chitaldrug	... 1,397	57,807.88	414	78,253.88
5 Bellary	... 472	10,174.25	398	9,636.75
mysore DIVISION				
6 Mysore	... 1,508	88,514.00	697	1,13,126.00
7 Mandya	... 1,441	87,461.00	500	1,19,517.00
8 Hassan	... 2,474	1,14,941.00	587	1,59,540.00
9 Chickmagalur*	... 981	60,271.77	307	83,734.00
10 Shimoga	... 1,682	90,237.00	600	1,19,271.81
11 South Kanara	... 685	11,700.00	294	6,762.00
12 Coorg	... 275	31,100.00	25	41,000.00
BELGAUM DIVISION				
13 Bijapur	... 1,429	98,034.81	311	2,900,50.23
14 Kanara	... 983	63,776.24	287	2,06,531.52
15 Dharwar	... 1,744	1,49,145.64	427	3,87,385.59
16 Belgaum	... 1,402	1,34,229.00	311	1,43,924.00
GULBARGA DIVISION				
17 Gulbarga	... 2,722	73,292.00	1,102	55,542.00
18 Raichur	... 2,464	1,51,024.09	1,064	1,30,656.08
19 Bidar	... 1,218	84,605.75	594	83,968.00
Total...				

*In case of Chickmagalur the figures of remuneration includes 50 per cent of potagi enhanced by Government on 8th June 1957, with effect from the year 1956-57.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಲ್. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ.—ನನ್ನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ (c) ದಿಲ್ಲಿ This information is not available now ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದೆ. ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಯಾವಾಗ ಈ ಇನ್‌ಫರ್ಮೇಷನ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಿ ನಾವುವಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಹಾಟೀರ್.—ಈ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಖಚಿನ ವಿವರ ಬಿಕಳ ಹೇಳಿದಾಗಿದೆ. 1930ನೇ ಇನ್‌ಪಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಖಚಿನ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಈಗ ಸಿಗುವುದು ನಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಲ್. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ.—ಶ್ರೀ ಗುಂಡಪ್ಪ ಗೌಡ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಇಬ್ಬೆಂದು. ಅದುದಿರಿದ ಈ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಖಚಿನ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಸಿಗಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ನಾನು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—The question relates mainly to the Committee appointed in 1930 which is 28 years old. It is therefore very difficult to get the figures for that committee. If the Hon'ble member wants information in respect of Gundappa Gowda Committee, I shall make enquiry and if available I shall furnish the information.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಲ್. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ.—ಪಟೆಲ್ ಶಾಸನ ಭೇದಗೆ hereditary system ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಬೇಕು ಎಂಬುದು ಏನು ಅಯಿತು ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಉದ್ದೇಶನುವದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಲ್. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ.—Hereditary Offices ತೆಗೆದುಹಾಕಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಯೋಜನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಏನಾಯಿತು ಹೇಳಿ ಶ್ರೀರಾ ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That is still under the consideration of the Government.

2. P. M.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is this the amount which relates to the expenditure of this Committee to be met from Government funds ?

(*Interruption*)

What is the meaning of saying that accounts are not available. The Committee was appointed in 1930. They would have been paid and the expenditure incurred. To what Budget head has it been debited.....

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆ ಸಮಾಚಾರ ಇಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ನಾನೇನು ಮಾಡಲು ?

So far as Gundappa Gowda Committee accounts are concerned the Government have promised that they will try to get the information.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—The information is not available ಎಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದ ಸಮಿತಿ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿವುದು ಹಾಸ್ಯಾನ್ವದವಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದೊಂದೇ ಸಲವಲ್ಲಿ, ಎಷ್ಟೋ ನಾರ್ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಇದೆರ್ಥಿ ಹೇಳಿದಾಗ್ನಿ. Why is it that information is not available ? How is it that this information is not available even after 27 years of that committee functioning ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—My information is that the information is not available.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Do Government propose to find out what exactly is the amount of expenditure incurred ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಒಂದು ಸಮಿತಿಯದು ನಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಇನ್ನೊಂದು ಸಮಿತಿ ವಿಚಾರ ನೋಡುತ್ತೇನೆ ಎಂದು ಅಗಲೇ ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದರು.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—He must be knowing much better.

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ಹನುಮಯ್ಯ.—ತೋಟ ತಳವಾರರ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅನುವಂಶಿಕ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯನ್ನು ಉಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಹಾಟೀರ್.—ಈ ತಿಂಗಳ ಅಭಿರ್ಗಿ ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧವಾದ ವರಗಿ ಬರುವುದಾಗಿದೆ. ಬಂದ ಸಂತರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆತ್ತಾರು ಎಂದು ಎಷ್ಟೋ ಸಲ ಇಂದೇ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—This question does not relate to Thotis and Thalaris. It relates to only Shanbhogues and patels.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It relates to Thotis and thalaris also.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Could Government tell me whether accounts in regard to expenditure incurred in respect of these committees have been maintained by Government ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That information is not with me. If the Hon'ble Member wants that information, I shall collect the same.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—My question is very simple Sir—whether accounts are maintained or not.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದರಿಂದ.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Do Government feel doubtful about the maintenance of accounts itself ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—Accounts are generally maintained. Whether the accounts of 1940—whether this particular information is available or not, as far as my information goes, that information is not available with me.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I want to know whether Government feels doubtful of the maintenance of the accounts of these committees. Sir, I do not expect any answer to this question from the Hon'ble Speaker.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಹಾಗೆ ಹೇಳುವುದು ತತ್ವ. ಸಚಿವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಉತ್ತರ ತಮಗೆ ಕೇಳಿಸಲಬೇಕು ನಾನು ಅದನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿದೆ, ಅಷ್ಟೇ; ನಿಮ್ಮ ಸ್ತುತಿಗೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Sir, my question remains unanswered. ನಮಿತಿಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಉಂಟಾದ ಖಚಿತ ವೆಚ್ಚೆಗಳ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ನಕಾರ ಇಟ್ಟಿರುವ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನಂತರು ವಿದೇಶೀ ಅಥವಾ ಇಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಆ ಸಮಾಚಾರ ನನಗೆ ದೊರೆತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I would like to know what is the difficulty in calling upon the Government machinery to furnish answers to this question in time?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I explained just now that I shall make enquiries. Whatever information is available, I will furnish the same to the House. Regarding the committee of 1930 that information is not available. If it is available, I will supply the same.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಕೆ. ಪುಟ್ಟರಾಮಯ್ಯ.—ಈ ಏರದು ನಮಿತಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಖಚಿತ ವೆಚ್ಚೆಗಳ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳು ಕಳುವಾಗಿರುವುದು ನಿಜವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಪಿ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಅದು ನನಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ, ಸನ್ವಾದ ನೆಡನ್ನಿರ್ಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿರಬಹುದು.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Were any Government Officers and Officials associated with these committees for work? Were they the people responsible for the maintenance of accounts?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಆಗ ನೀವೇ ಇಡ್ಡಿರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜೆ. ಬಿ. ಮಾಲಾರಾಧ್ಯ.—ನಾಾವಿಧರೆ ಈ ಅಷ್ಟವನ್ನಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶವೇ ಇರುತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I want notice.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—May I know what efforts have the Government made to find out the expenditure incurred for these committees?

Sri M.P. PATIL.—The usual procedure is followed. Information is called for and whatever information is available, that is supplied.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—Government was pleased to state that accounts with respect to the second committee were available. What was the special difficulty for Government to furnish that information to the Assembly even though, it is ten months since the question has been put?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I am not in a position to give the expenditure of all the committees and I have not got the information, may be because there might be reference to the Accountant General, or there might be references to other officers.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—We are not here to take answers which have been furnished by the departmental Secretaries. We want answers from the Government. It is between the Government and the officers to find out the information. Now I ask, what is the difficulty for Government to furnish a simple answer to the question asked for relating to the year 1948. Is not ten months a sufficient period to find out the information and give it?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—I explained just now that it is not possible to give all these details because they are not in the file. Supposing the Hon'ble Member wants to know why there is so much delay; that is a different question. If that question is put, I shall have to make an enquiry as to which department it was sent and call for the information and supply it.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—May I take it that the Government have not made any efforts at all to furnish information?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That does not mean that.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಲ್.—ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ.—ದಿನನೆಜ ಕಮಿಟಿ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್‌ನ ಮತ್ತು ಗುಂದಪ್ಪ ಗೌಡ ಕಮಿಟಿ ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್‌ನ, ಈ ಏರದರ್ಶ ಏನಾದರೂ ವ್ಯತಾಪ ವಿದೇಶೀ ಅಥವ ಏರದೂ ತಾಳಿ ಹೊಂದಿದೆಯೇ?

Sri M. P. PATIL.—That is a matter of detail. How can I give all the details for these Reports?